

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
6

7 DAN BRANDON BILZERIAN,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 IGNITE INTERNATIONAL BRANDS,
LTD., *et al.*,

11 Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-02101-RFB-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 20]

12 Pending before the Court is the parties' proposed joint discovery plan. Docket No. 20.

13 The discovery plan seeks a longer discovery period because "this case involves multiple
14 and complex claims against the defendants who either live or are domiciled out of state, three of
15 whom are domiciled or live outside of the United States. None of the defendants have answered
16 yet, and two filed motions to dismiss that are pending, and the Plaintiff is still in the process of
17 serving two foreign citizens[.]" Docket No. 20 at 3.

18 It is unclear whether the parties intend to proceed with discovery or seek to stay discovery
19 considering the circumstances. Accordingly, the pending discovery plan is **DENIED** without
20 prejudice. Docket No. 20. If the parties seek a stay of discovery, they must file, by February 28,
21 2025, a request addressing the pertinent standards. *See Kor Media Grp., LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D.
22 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013); *see also Kabo Tool Co. v. Porauto Indus. Co.*, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS
23 53570, *3 (D. Nev. Apr. 15, 2013). If the parties intend to proceed with discovery, they must file,
24 by February 17, 2025, a proposed discovery plan.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: February 10, 2025

27
28 
Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge